November 2000

Reference Number: 2001-40-008

This report has cleared the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration disclosure review process and information determined to be restricted from public release has been redacted from this document.



## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20220

November 14, 2000

MEMORANDUM FOR COMMISSIONER ROSSOTTI

FROM: Pamela J. Gardiner

Deputy Inspector General for Audit

SUBJECT: Final Audit Report - Electronic Returns Were Processed

Yamela Sardiner

Effectively

This report presents the results of our review to evaluate the effectiveness of the Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) controls for processing individual electronic return transactions during the 2000 Filing Season.

In summary, we found that the individual electronic returns were processed effectively. However, we identified an opportunity for the IRS to improve the customer service provided to electronic transmitters who called with questions about their transmissions. As a result of our review, IRS management identified steps to improve the consistency of its customer service operations. We recommended the IRS carry out its plans to develop and implement National Headquarters standards and guidance to correct the operational weaknesses we identified.

Management agreed to the recommendations we presented. Management's comments have been incorporated into the report where appropriate, and the full text of their comments is included as an appendix.

Copies of this report are also being sent to the IRS managers who are affected by the report recommendations. Please contact me at (202) 622-6510 if you have questions, or your staff may call Walter Arrison, Associate Inspector General for Audit (Wage and Investment Income Programs), at (770) 936-4590.

## **Table of Contents**

Executive Summary	.Page	i
Objective and Scope	.Page	1
Background	.Page	2
Results	.Page	4
Individual Electronic Returns Were Processed Effectively	.Page	4
Service to E-File Providers Could Be Improved	.Page	7
Conclusion	.Page	12
Appendix I – Detailed Objective, Scope, and Methodology	.Page	13
Appendix II – Major Contributors to This Report	.Page	18
Appendix III – Report Distribution List	.Page	19
Appendix IV – Outcome Measures	.Page	20
Appendix V – Overview of the Internal Revenue Service's Processing Subsystems	.Page	22
Appendix VI – Processing Problems that Occurred Early in the 2000 Filing Season	.Page	24
Appendix VII – Management's Response to the Draft Report	.Page	26

## **Executive Summary**

Broadening the use of electronic tax administration is a key component of the Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) modernization effort. Electronically filed (E-file) returns improve service for taxpayers and boost production by reducing errors, speeding refunds, and reducing labor costs. Section 2001(a) of the IRS Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 (RRA 98)<sup>1</sup> indicated it should be the goal of the IRS to have at least 80 percent of all tax and information returns filed electronically by 2007.

The IRS estimated that 126.9 million individual<sup>2</sup> returns would be filed during Calendar Year 2000 and that 33.6 million of these would be filed electronically. As of June 15, 2000,<sup>3</sup> the IRS had processed 35.2 million individual electronic returns. This volume is 105 percent of the 33.6 million individual electronic returns that the IRS projected it would receive during the 2000 Filing Season.<sup>4</sup>

The overall objective of this audit was to evaluate the effectiveness of the IRS' controls for processing individual electronic return transactions during the 2000 Filing Season.

## Results

Overall, the IRS' system for accepting and processing individual electronic returns was effective. While some problems occurred early in the filing season, they were quickly identified and corrected.

However, we identified an opportunity for the IRS to improve the service it provides to Authorized IRS E-file Providers through its Help Desk. This is important because one aspect of the IRS reorganization is the promotion of nationwide consistency in its business dealings with taxpayers and in its internal operations.

## **Individual Electronic Returns Were Processed Effectively**

The IRS' system for accepting and processing individual electronic returns was effective. For example, returns accepted for IRS processing were virtually error-free. In addition, the controls for ensuring that individual electronic returns are accepted only from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pub. L. No. 105-206, 112 Stat. 685.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> "Individual" refers to U.S. Individual Income Tax Returns (Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This was the latest data available at the time we prepared the draft audit report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The "2000 Filing Season" began on January 14, 2000, which was the first day the IRS accepted electronically transmitted individual returns for Tax Year 1999 (January 1 - December 31, 1999). The 2000 Filing Season ends on October 19, 2000, which is the last day for re-transmitting rejected late or extended individual returns.

Authorized IRS E-file Providers<sup>5</sup> were working properly, and the IRS properly notified unauthorized E-file providers<sup>6</sup> when their transmissions were rejected. While some problems occurred early in the 2000 Filing Season, they were quickly identified and corrected (see Appendix VI for more information).

The IRS effectively implemented the enhancements added to the individual E-file Program for the 2000 Filing Season. For example, after some initial problems with the Debt Indicator Pilot<sup>7</sup> were identified and resolved early in the filing season, the IRS provided accurate debt indicators for taxpayers who entered into Refund Anticipation Loan agreements. We also determined that return data containing the tax forms or schedule added for the 2000 Filing Season were properly processed to taxpayers' accounts.

## Service to E-File Providers Could Be Improved

The IRS designed a Help Desk to provide customer service to Authorized IRS E-file Providers who experienced problems with their transmissions. We reviewed the Help Desk operations at 3 of the 5 processing sites and found that 1 site provided ineffective customer service to the approximately 37,000 Authorized IRS E-file Providers it serviced. This occurred because the IRS had not established national standards and guidelines to ensure that the Help Desk operations at the processing sites were consistent. This report discusses the weaknesses we identified with the one Help Desk and the proposed actions that IRS management plans to take to correct those weaknesses.

## **Summary of Recommendations**

As a result of our review, IRS management identified steps to improve the consistency of its Help Desk operations. We recommend the IRS carry out its plans to develop and implement National Headquarters standards and guidance to correct the operational weaknesses we identified.

<u>Management's Response</u>: IRS management acknowledged the need to improve the customer service provided to Authorized IRS E-file Providers and identified several steps to address this need. For example, the Internal Revenue Manual is being updated to provide guidance to the processing sites. Help Desk employees will be expected to answer calls from Authorized IRS E-file Providers, and recording devices should be used only when all telephone lines are busy. In addition, the hours of service provided at each site may be extended to manage the call volume during peak periods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> After successfully completing IRS testing for acceptance into the IRS E-file Program, a participant is referred to as an "Authorized IRS E-file Provider."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> E-file providers who have not successfully completed IRS testing for acceptance into the IRS E-file Program.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See Page 3 and Appendix VI for more information about the Debt Indicator Pilot.

Additional training will be given to Help Desk employees at each site prior to the 2001 Filing Season, and the number of Help Desk employees trained will be increased.

Processing sites will be required to develop quality review procedures to evaluate the effectiveness of customer service provided by their Help Desks operations. Evaluations of the Help Desk operations against the national standards guidelines will be conducted during periodic on-site reviews at the processing sites. Finally, a team will be established to analyze and recommend solutions to long-term aspects of Help Desk service; for example, recommended telephone system, percentage of calls answered on-line, etc. This team will consist of representatives from the processing sites, Electronic Tax Administration National Headquarters, National Treasury Employees Union, and contractor support.

Management's complete response to the draft report is included as Appendix VII.

## Objective and Scope

The overall objective of this review was to evaluate the effectiveness of the IRS' controls for processing individual electronic return transactions.

The overall objective of this review was to evaluate the effectiveness of the Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) controls for processing individual<sup>1</sup> electronic return transactions during the 2000 Filing Season. Since all individual electronic returns are processed similarly after they are received by the IRS, our review focused on testing key processing controls that included:

- Reviewing the IRS' system for accepting and processing individual electronic returns.
- Determining if the enhancements for the IRS' electronic (E-file) program (for individual taxpayers) were effectively implemented for the 2000 Filing Season.
- Evaluating the process for answering questions from Authorized IRS E-file Providers who call the IRS' Help Desk for assistance with their transmissions.<sup>2</sup>

We conducted this review as part of the Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration's Fiscal Year 2000 audit plan. Our audit tests covered individual electronic returns processed by the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites during January and February 2000. We also performed selective audit tests on individual electronic returns processed by the Cincinnati and Memphis processing sites during the same period. This review was conducted in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*.

Details of our audit objective, scope, and methodology are presented in Appendix I. Major contributors to this report are listed in Appendix II.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> "Individual" refers to U.S. Individual Income Tax Returns (Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ). These are the only forms that can participate in the Form 1040 IRS E-file Program (formerly known as the Form 1040 Electronic Filing (ELF) Program).

<sup>2</sup> The IRS Help Desk provides customer service to Authorized IRS E-file Providers who have problems with their transmissions.

## **Background**

Broadening the use of electronic tax administration is a key component of the IRS' modernization effort. E-file returns improve service for taxpayers and boost production by reducing errors, speeding refunds, and reducing labor costs.

The IRS offers individuals different options for electronically filing their tax returns and for paying their taxes.<sup>3</sup> For example, individual taxpayers can:

- File their returns electronically through an Authorized IRS E-file Provider (Practitioner Program)
- File their returns on-line via their home computer through a third-party transmitter (On-line Program)
- File their returns over the telephone (Telefile Program)

The IRS Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 (RRA 98) § 2001(a)<sup>4</sup> established a goal for the IRS that 80 percent of all tax and information returns should be filed electronically by 2007. For Calendar Year 2000, the IRS estimated that 126.9 million individual returns would be filed and that 33.6 million of these (26.5 percent) would be filed electronically. Through June 15, 2000, the IRS had processed 35.2 million individual electronic returns. Following is a breakdown of these 35.2 million returns by filing option (the 3 filing options are described above):

- Practitioner Program 25 million (71 percent)
- Telefile Program 5.2 million (15 percent)
- On-line Program 5 million (14 percent)

The IRS estimated that 33.6 million individual returns would be filed electronically in 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The IRS E-file Program is governed by Revenue Procedure 98-50, Requirements of Participants in the IRS E-file Program for Form 1040, U.S. Individual Income Tax Return. Rev. Proc. 98-50, 1998-38 I.R.B. (1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pub. L. No. 105-206, 112 Stat. 685.

### **Changes for the 2000 Filing Season**

For the 2000 Filing Season, the IRS added four more forms and one more schedule (listed below) for acceptance in the Tax Year (TY) 1999 IRS E-file Program (The total number of forms and schedules accepted electronically is 63.<sup>5</sup>):

- Gains and Losses from Section 1256, Contracts and Straddles (Form 6781)
- Investor Reporting of Tax Shelter Registration Number (Form 8271)
- Passive Activity Credit Limitations (Form 8582-CR)
- Low-Income Housing Credit (Form 8586)
- Farm Income Averaging (Schedule J)

The IRS also implemented the Debt Indicator Pilot. Under this pilot, the IRS provided Authorized IRS E-file Providers who met certain requirements to participate in the pilot with a debt indicator for each taxpayer who entered into a Refund Anticipation Loan (RAL)<sup>6</sup> agreement with a financial institution. The debt indicator showed whether the taxpayer owed prior year taxes (IRS debt) or owed past-due child support or other Federal agency debt, such as student loans.

#### Overview of processing of electronic returns

The system for processing electronic returns includes four subsystems (listed below). See Appendix V for general descriptions of the subsystems.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Source: IRS E-file Filing Season Supplement for Electronic Return Originators (TY 1999), (Pub. 1345A (Rev. 12-99)).
<sup>6</sup> A RAL is money borrowed by a taxpayer from a lender based on the taxpayer's anticipated refund amount. The IRS offsets taxpayers' refunds to pay off prior year taxes that are owed, and the Financial Management Service (FMS) offsets taxpayers' refunds to pay off past-due child support or Federal agency debts that are owed. Offsets to non-tax debts occur after the IRS has certified the refunds to the FMS for payment but before the FMS issues the refund checks or makes the Direct Deposits. Refund offsets reduce the amount of the expected Direct Deposits or paper check but do not delay the issuance of the remaining refund (if any).

- Front-End Processing Subsystem
- State Retrieval Subsystem
- Returns Processing Subsystem
- Tax Return Data Base

The first day of the 2000 E-file season was January 14, 2000. This was the first day the IRS started accepting TY 1999 individual electronic returns from Authorized IRS E-file Providers. During the week preceding this date, the IRS conducted "start-up" tests at the Austin and Memphis processing sites. The purpose was to identify problems and correct them prior to January 14, 2000. Daily conference calls were held the week of start-up testing, and weekly conference calls were conducted thereafter. Representatives from several IRS offices and geographic locations participated in these conference calls.

#### Results

Overall, the IRS' system for accepting and processing individual electronic returns was effective. While some problems occurred early in the 2000 Filing Season, they were quickly identified and corrected. (In Appendix VI, we present additional information about two situations that occurred in January 2000.) Although the IRS' system for processing individual electronic returns was effective, the IRS has an opportunity to improve the service it provided to Authorized IRS E-file Providers through its Help Desk. Promoting nationwide consistency in its business dealings with taxpayers and in its internal operations is an important aspect of the IRS reorganization.

## **Individual Electronic Returns Were Processed Effectively**

For the audit period January 14 through February 29, 2000, the IRS processed 22.9 million

The IRS' system for accepting and processing individual electronic returns was effective. individual electronic returns, which was 68 percent of the 33.6 million returns that the IRS projected for the 2000 Filing Season.<sup>7</sup>

Overall, the IRS' system for accepting and processing individual electronic returns was effective. The returns that were accepted for processing were virtually error-free. For example, IRS processing reports showed less than 1 percent of the returns were subsequently rejected during processing.<sup>8</sup>

We interviewed IRS personnel and examined IRS computer reports to determine how the IRS monitors the processing of individual electronic returns. We also attended nine filing season conference calls between January 12 and March 1, 2000, to determine how the IRS monitors filing season progress and addresses processing problems. We found that the IRS properly resolved problems that were identified.

We tested the IRS' controls for ensuring that individual electronic returns were accepted only from Authorized IRS E-file Providers and found that the controls were working properly. To accomplish this, we obtained the computer listings of Authorized IRS E-file Providers for January 19 and February 2, 2000. We compared these listings to the return transmissions made on the same dates and verified that the IRS rejected returns transmitted by unauthorized E-file providers.

We verified that the IRS provided customer service to the unauthorized E-file providers by properly notifying them when their transmissions were rejected. We also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Subsequent to the audit period, the IRS had processed 35.2 million individual electronic returns as of June 15, 2000. This volume exceeded the 33.6 million that was projected for the filing season.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> We used IRS reports to compute this percentage but did not verify the accuracy of the report data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Executives, managers, and employees representing numerous IRS operations and geographic locations participate in nationwide conference calls throughout the filing season. Daily conference calls are held during the first week of the filing season, and weekly conference calls are held during the remainder of the filing season. The primary purpose is to discuss filing season progress and to identify and resolve problem issues.

Enhancements to the individual E-file Program were effectively implemented. verified that the rejected returns were included in one of the IRS' computer reports used to monitor processing results.

The IRS also effectively implemented the individual E-file Program enhancements. After the IRS resolved some initial problems with the Debt Indicator Pilot that affected approximately 20,000 returns (see Appendix VI), we reviewed a statistically valid sample of 143 returns from a population of over 4 million individual E-file returns that contained taxpayer requests for RAL agreements. 10 The positive test results verified that, after the initial problems with the Debt Indicator Pilot were corrected, the IRS provided accurate debt indicators for taxpayers who entered into RAL agreements.

We selected another statistically valid sample of 155 returns from a population of 657 individual E-file returns that contained 1 or more of the forms or schedule added to the individual E-file Program for the 2000 Filing Season. 11 We traced the return data to the taxpayers' accounts and verified that the IRS properly processed the returns.

Further, we reviewed the activity for 58 Error Reject Codes (ERC)<sup>12</sup> that were added for the 2000 Filing Season. We determined that the IRS' computer programs were working properly to reject returns that did not meet the IRS' processing criteria. We also performed a limited review of the remaining 355 ERCs to identify reject trends that might indicate problems with the IRS' computer systems. We found only 1 instance in which approximately 40,000 returns claiming the child care credit were erroneously rejected because of improper IRS computer programming. The

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> We used computer software designed by the SAS Institute to randomly select the sample of 143 returns based on a 90 percent confidence level, 5 percent expected error rate, and +/- 3 percent reliability.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> We used a computer application to randomly select the sample of 155 returns based on a 95 percent confidence level, 5 percent expected error rate, and +/- 3 percent reliability.

12 The IRS uses ERCs to identify why returns reject for processing.

error was identified and corrected early in the filing season (see Appendix VI).

Based on the results above, we concluded that the IRS' system for processing individual electronic returns was effective.

### Service to E-File Providers Could Be Improved

The Help Desk was designed to provide customer service to Authorized IRS E-file Providers who experience problems with their transmissions. The telephone numbers for the Help Desks are listed in the *IRS E-file Filing Season Supplement for Electronic Return Originators (TY 1999)*, (Pub. 1345A (Rev. 12-99)).

When Authorized IRS E-file Providers call a processing site Help Desk, IRS employees should use the specially designed Help Desk computer application to research the problems to assist the providers. This application gives employees the capability to research transmission activity between Authorized IRS E-file Providers and the IRS.

We reviewed the Help Desk operations at the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites and found that the Andover Help Desk did not provide effective customer service to its Authorized IRS E-file Providers. The Andover Help Desk is responsible for providing customer service to approximately 37,000 Authorized IRS E-file Providers. The Austin and Ogden Help Desks are responsible for providing service to approximately 63,000 Authorized IRS E-file Providers.

Help Desk operations at three IRS processing sites were not consistent. We found that the Help Desk operation at the one processing site was not adequately staffed with employees who were trained to work the Help Desk. In addition, the published telephone number for the Help Desk was for a telephone that was not in the same physical location as the employees who were responsible for working the Help Desk. When Authorized IRS E-file Providers dialed the number, they

had to leave a message on a voice recorder. Later, employees manually transcribed the messages onto paper forms. The paper forms were evaluated and, depending on the nature of the problem, were forwarded to the Help Desk or other employee groups for resolution. We were told that the recorded messages were "retrieved once per hour or more frequently" and were "answered within 2 to 5 hours of receipt."

For the period January 14 through February 29, 2000, this processing site forwarded 1,795 transcribed messages (paper forms) to the Help Desk for resolution. We analyzed the information for 158 of these calls (9 percent) and determined that the recorded messages were not always retrieved within 1 hour. Our results showed:<sup>13</sup>

- 104 (66 percent) were retrieved within 1 hour.
- 31 (20 percent) were not retrieved within 1 hour (the range was from 1 hour and 2 minutes to 2 days, 9 hours, and 58 minutes).
- 23 (15 percent) where no determination could be made because the transcribed data was insufficient.

We also found that the recorded messages were not always answered within 2 to 5 hours of receipt. Our results showed:

- 60 (38 percent) were answered within 5 hours.
- 42 (27 percent) were not answered within 5 hours (the range was from 5 hours and 6 minutes to 4 days, 23 hours, and 14 minutes).
- 56 (35 percent) where no determination could be made because the transcribed data was insufficient.

The other two Help Desk operations we reviewed used automated telecommunications systems that captured the volume of calls made to the Help Desks. For the period January 14 through February 29, 2000, these Help Desks

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Total percentage exceeds 100 percent due to rounding.

received a total of 57,543 calls.<sup>14</sup> This volume is significantly greater than the 1,795 calls received by the processing site that required Authorized IRS E-file Providers to leave a message. The disparity in call volumes suggests that the one Help Desk might not be providing effective customer service.

Table 1 shows the number of Authorized IRS E-file Providers served by the five Help Desks. It illustrates the potential impact that ineffective Help Desk service could have on Authorized IRS E-file Providers.

Table 1: Number of Authorized IRS E-file Providers for Each Processing Site, as of April 9, 2000

Processing Site Help Desk <sup>15</sup>	Authorized IRS E-File Providers
1	37,331
2	32,327
3	27,349
4	25,999
5	30,543

Source: The IRS' Applicants Database

All Authorized IRS E-file Providers should expect the same level of service regardless of which processing site Help Desk they contact. Authorized IRS E-file Providers nationwide should expect the same level of customer service regardless of which processing site Help Desk they call. Authorized IRS E-file Providers who do not receive timely customer service from one Help Desk may ultimately call another processing site Help Desk.

During the audit, we discussed our concerns regarding the Help Desk operations with IRS executives. They shared our concerns and recognized the need to develop national guidelines to ensure that all processing site Help Desks provide consistent customer service to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> We relied on call volume reports generated by IRS computer systems for the Processing Site Help Desks. We did not verify the accuracy of the report data.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> We used numbers to identify the five processing sites. We did not determine the number of returns or individual taxpayers associated with the Authorized IRS E-file Providers.

Authorized IRS E-file Providers. The executives also identified the following proposed areas that need additional guidance:

- Percentage of calls to be answered on-line.
- Time frame for answering other calls.
- Method of tracking and counting calls.
- Consistent telecommunications capability.
- Hours of service.
- Employees (grade and classification) for working the Help Desk.
- Additional training needed.
- Consistent, professional style of answering calls.

#### Recommendations

The actions that the IRS plans to take are positive steps towards improving the Help Desk operations. We believe that thorough evaluations of each proposed area would identify issues that, if properly addressed, could improve the overall consistency of customer service provided by the Help Desks. We recommend the IRS carry out the planned actions and:

1. Develop national standards, goals, and procedural guidance, for each of the proposed areas mentioned above, to ensure consistent and effective customer service is provided by all processing site Help Desks.

Management's Response: Management plans to update the Internal Revenue Manual (IRM) to provide guidance to the processing sites. More specifically, the IRM will indicate that Help Desk employees should answer calls, and that recording devices should be used only when all telephone lines are busy. Management also plans to share its expectations for the 2001 Filing Season with the processing sites.

The Andover processing site will increase the number of Help Desk employees to  $25^{16}$  full-time tax examiners. Additional training will be given to Help Desk employees at each site, and the number of Help Desk employees trained will range from 13 to 45 per site. In comparison, the IRS conducted "train the trainer" sessions for only two employees per site for the 2000 Filing Season.

Finally, management plans to establish a team to analyze and recommend solutions to the long-term aspects of Help Desk operations. This team will consist of representatives from the processing sites, Electronic Tax Administration National Headquarters, National Treasury Employees Union, and contractor support.

2. Implement the necessary changes at each Help Desk to bring them into compliance with the established national standards and guidelines.

Management's Response: Each Help Desk will be instructed to adhere to the updated IRM and program expectations for the 2001 Filing Season. In addition, management will monitor the delivery of training and evaluate the results.

Design and implement a control process to:

 (a) evaluate each Help Desk operation against the national standards, goals, and procedures, and
 (b) implement corrective actions for unacceptable deviations from the standards, goals, or procedures.

Management's Response: Management will evaluate the Help Desk operations during periodic on-site reviews at the processing sites. In addition, the processing sites will be required to develop quality review procedures to evaluate the effectiveness of telephone assistance and implement corrective actions if standards are not met.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Five employees worked the Andover Help Desk during the 2000 Filing Season.

#### Conclusion

The importance of electronic filing is evidenced by the goals established by the Congress in RRA 98 § 2001(a). Overall, we determined that the IRS' controls for accepting and processing individual electronic returns were effective and that the program enhancements for the 2000 Filing Season were properly implemented. Some processing problems occurred early in the 2000 Filing Season, but they were quickly identified and corrected. Help Desk operations at one processing site could be improved, and we believe that implementation of the recommendations included in this report should improve the overall consistency and customer service provided by the Help Desks nationwide.

Appendix I

### **Detailed Objective, Scope, and Methodology**

The overall objective of this review was to evaluate the effectiveness of the Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) controls for processing individual electronic (E-file) return transactions during the 2000 Filing Season. To accomplish this objective, we evaluated the IRS' controls for accepting and processing individual electronic returns. We also determined if the processing changes and tax forms and schedule added for the 2000 Filing Season were effectively implemented. Lastly, we evaluated the service provided to Authorized IRS E-file Providers who call the IRS for assistance. Specifically, we performed the following audit tests:

- I. To identify and evaluate the controls over the acceptance and processing of individual electronic returns, we:
  - A. Interviewed IRS personnel and reviewed local and national Electronic Filing (ELF) System reports or data to identify and evaluate performance measures, processing problems, and actions taken by the IRS personnel to correct processing problems. More specifically, we:
    - 1. Interviewed IRS personnel for background information about the pre-filing season tests conducted at the Austin processing site during the week beginning January 10, 2000, and attended the initial "kick-off" meeting on January 11, 2000.
    - 2. Attended nine filing season conference calls between January 12 and March 1, 2000, to identify processing problems and determine if the IRS took appropriate action.
    - 3. Obtained the IRS' projections for the national volume of electronically filed individual returns for 2000 and the same projections for the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites.
    - 4. Interviewed the Andover, Austin, and Ogden Reports Analysts for the ELF Units to determine what reports they use to monitor processing and identify problems.

- 5. Reviewed the IRS' Startup Meeting Volume Reports between January 17 and February 29, 2000. This is a daily report that shows the cumulative E-file transmissions for the nation and for each of the five IRS processing sites. We computed the acceptance rate (number of electronic returns accepted divided by the number of returns transmitted) for 2000 and compared it with the acceptance rate for the 1999 Filing Season. We also monitored Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing site volumes to identify any unusual activity or trends.
- B. Determined whether the controls were working to ensure that individual electronic returns were accepted only from Authorized IRS E-file Providers and whether Authorized IRS E-file Providers were properly notified of rejected returns. To accomplish this, we performed the following tests for the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites on January 19, 2000, and February 2, 2000:
  - Obtained the list of Authorized IRS E-file Providers and compared it against the list of providers who transmitted returns to the IRS and determined whether the IRS rejected all returns transmitted by unauthorized E-file providers. For the 2 days that we tested, the IRS rejected 793 returns associated with 191 unauthorized E-file providers. In other words, the IRS rejected all returns received from all unauthorized E-file providers.
  - 2. For all 191 unauthorized E-file providers identified in B.1., determined whether the IRS notified the E-file providers that their transmissions were rejected.
  - 3. Determined whether the 793 rejected returns identified in B.1. were included in the volume of returns reported on the *Daily Error Reject Code Reports* under Error Reject Code (ERC) 029. This ERC is used to capture the volume of returns transmitted from unauthorized E-file providers.
  - 4. Interviewed Information Systems personnel at the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites to determine if the daily Applicants Database (ADB) was received timely for that day's processing and if they had experienced any problems with the ADB.
- C. Reviewed a statistically valid sample of 143 returns and determined if the debt indicator was valid for each return. (Note: The scope of this test included all five processing sites that process individual electronic returns: Andover, Austin, Cincinnati, Memphis, and Ogden.) To accomplish this, we:

- Performed a computer analysis that identified a population of 4,364,938 individual electronic returns processed from January 30 through February 9, 2000, that requested Refund Anticipation Loan (RAL) agreements. We used a 90 percent confidence level, 5 percent expected error rate, and +/- 3 percent reliability to arrive at a sample size of 143. We used SAS Institute software to randomly select the 143 returns from the population. The breakdown of the 143 returns by processing site was: Andover 28; Austin 37; Cincinnati 32; Memphis 26; and Ogden 20.
- 2. Determined whether all 143 returns in our sample contained a debt indicator in the acknowledgement (ACK) file. (Note: Every return that requests a RAL agreement should have a corresponding debt indicator. The absence of the debt indicator would indicate processing problems.)
- 3. Verified the accuracy of the debt indicator in the ACK file by comparing it to taxpayer data on the IRS' Individual Masterfile (IMF).<sup>2</sup>
- 4. Recomputed an actual sample reliability of 1.6 percent because we had no errors in our sample results.
- D. Monitored the GMF 2740 Runs reports for the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites from January 18 through February 29, 2000, to identify the volume of electronic returns sent to the Error Resolution function. Because the volume of electronic returns that went to Error Resolution was less than 1 percent for each processing site, we limited further testing to Austin. We reviewed Austin's ERS 93-46 report dated February 25, 2000, for U.S. Individual Income Tax Returns (Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ) and determined the nature of the ERCs with 500 or more errors. We also reviewed Austin's ERS 13-41 report dated February 25, 2000, to identify any aged inventory of returns in the Error Resolution function.
- E. Monitored the GUF 0749 reports for the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites from January 20 through February 24, 2000, to identify the volume of electronic returns sent to the Unpostables function. We also interviewed the GUF Unit Manager, E-file Examiner, and GUF Analyst at the Austin processing site to identify the types of errors associated with electronic returns sent to Unpostables.

and related documents.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Within 48 hours of receiving a transmission from an E-file provider, the IRS transmits an acknowledgment (ACK) file back to the E-file provider through the Front-End Processing Subsystem. The ACK file lists the accepted, rejected, or duplicate returns that were transmitted by the E-file provider.

<sup>2</sup> The IMF is a magnetic tape containing information about taxpayers filing individual income tax returns

- II. To determine whether the new changes for the 2000 E-file season were effectively implemented, we:
  - A. Monitored the *Daily Error Reject Code Reports* for the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites for the period January 14 through February 29, 2000, to identify reject trends that would indicate systemic or software problems.
    - 1. Monitored the volumes of 24 ERCs associated with Schedule J and Forms 6781, 8271, 8582-CR, and 8586 that were added for the 2000 Filing Season (see page 3). We investigated unusual volume trends and determined if appropriate corrective action was taken, if warranted.
    - 2. Monitored the volumes of 34 additional ERCs that were added for the 2000 Filing Season. We investigated unusual volume trends and determined if appropriate corrective action was taken, if warranted.
  - B. Performed a cursory review of the national volumes for all other ERCs on the *Daily Error Reject Code Reports* for the period January 14 through February 29, 2000, to identify reject trends that would indicate systemic or software problems. We investigated unusual volume trends and determined if appropriate corrective action was taken, if warranted. (Note: Although Objective II focused on the "new" changes added for the 2000 Filing Season, we decided to perform a cursory review of all ERCs to identify unusual volumes or trends that might indicate systemic or software problems.)
  - C. Determined if the "new" forms and schedule added for the 2000 Filing Season were properly processed and posted to the IRS' IMF. To accomplish this, we:
    - 1. Performed a computer analysis that identified a total of 657 "new" forms and Schedule J submitted with electronic returns processed by all 5 processing sites from January 14 through February 9, 2000. We used a 95 percent confidence level, 5 percent expected error rate, and +/- 3 percent reliability to arrive at a sample size of 155. We used an Access database statistical sampling application to randomly select a sample of 155 returns containing the new forms and schedule.
    - 2. For each of the 155 returns, we used command code TRDBV<sup>3</sup> to verify that 1 or more of the "new" forms or schedule was filed with the return.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Command code TRDBV provides a display of original data from e-file tax returns and corrections made during IRS processing of the return.

- 3. For each of the 155 returns, we used command code TRDBV to determine whether the tax and the refund or amount due on the tax return agreed with the corresponding amounts on the taxpayer's IMF account.
- 4. Recomputed an actual sample reliability of 1.8 percent because we had no errors in our sample results.
- D. Determined if adequate corrective actions (e.g., processing changes, controls, new reject codes, etc.) were taken to ensure that processing problems that occurred during the 1999 Filing Season did not affect individual electronic returns processed during the 2000 Filing Season. To accomplish this, we:
  - 1. Reviewed documentation that described 1999 Filing Season problems and identified the problems that affected individual electronic returns. We interviewed IRS personnel to find out what corrective actions had been taken to address the problems. Based on the above, we estimated whether there was a low, medium, or high risk that the same problem would occur during the 2000 Filing Season.
  - 2. For each problem with a medium or high risk, either included tests in this review or recommended the problem be tested in a follow-up to this review.
- III. Determined if the IRS provided effective customer service to Authorized IRS E-file Providers who called the Andover, Austin, and Ogden Help Desks for assistance when they had problems with their transmissions. To accomplish this, we:
  - A. Contacted IRS managers of the Help Desks at the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites and obtained the daily volumes of calls received from Authorized IRS E-file Providers who called the Help Desk between January 14 and February 29, 2000. We analyzed the daily volumes for large or unusual trends that might indicate possible systemic problems that could affect the tax examiners' (TE) abilities to provide effective customer service.
  - B. Interviewed two Help Desk TEs at the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites (for a total of six TEs) to determine if they had any problems or concerns that affected their abilities to provide effective customer service to Authorized IRS E-file Providers who called the Help Desk.

## Appendix II

## **Major Contributors to This Report**

Walter E. Arrison, Associate Inspector General for Audit (Wage and Investment Income Programs)

M. Susan Boehmer, Director

Gary L. Young, Audit Manager

Sharon A. Buford, Senior Auditor

Edith R. Lemire, Senior Auditor

Carl H. Parmer, Senior Auditor

John P. Ojeda, Auditor

Bonnie G. Shanks, Auditor

## **Appendix III**

## **Report Distribution List**

Deputy Commissioner Operations C:DO

Chief Counsel CC

Chief Operations Officer OP

Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division W

National Taxpayer Advocate TA

Director, Customer Account Services W:CAS

Director, Legislative Affairs CL:LA

Director, Office of Program Evaluation and Risk Analysis (OPERA) M:O

Office of Management Controls CFO:A:M

Audit Liaison:

Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division W

**Appendix IV** 

#### **Outcome Measures**

This appendix presents detailed information on the measurable impact that our recommended corrective actions will have on tax administration. These benefits will be incorporated into our Semiannual Report to the Congress.

#### Finding and recommendations:

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) uses Help Desks at its five electronic filing (E-file) processing sites to research tax return processing problems experienced by Authorized IRS E-file Providers. We reviewed the Help Desks at the Andover, Austin, and Ogden processing sites and found that the Andover Help Desk did not provide effective customer service to its Authorized IRS E-file Providers. For the period January 14, through February 29, 2000, this site received only 1,795 calls, while the Help Desks at the other 2 processing sites we reviewed received a total of 57,543 calls. The Austin and Ogden Help Desks used automated telecommunications systems that captured the volume of calls made to the Help Desks. However, when Authorized IRS E-file Providers called the Andover Help Desk they had to leave a message on a voice recorder.

We analyzed 158 (9 percent) of the 1,795 calls to the Andover Help Desk and determined that the recorded messages were not always retrieved with 1 hour. Our results showed<sup>11</sup>

- 104 (66 percent) were retrieved within 1 hour.
- 31 (20 percent) were not retrieved within 1 hour (the range was from 1 hour and 2 minutes to 2 days, 9 hours, and 58 minutes).
- 23 (15 percent) where no determination could be made because the transcribed data were insufficient.

We also found that the recorded messages were not always answered within 2 to 5 hours of receipt. Our results showed:

- 60 (38 percent) were answered within 5 hours.
- 42 (27 percent) were not answered within 5 hours (the range was from 5 hours and 6 minutes to 4 days, 23 hours, and 14 minutes).
- 56 (35 percent) where no determination could be made because the transcribed data were insufficient.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total percentage exceeds 100 percent due to rounding.

Authorized IRS E-file Providers who do not receive timely customer service from one site's Help Desk may ultimately call another processing site's Help Desk, causing workload imbalances among the processing sites. These 3 Help Desks provide customer service to over 100,000 E-file Providers within their geographical boundaries (see page 7).

To ensure that all Authorized IRS E-file Providers receive timely resolution to their inquires, we recommended that the IRS:

- Develop national standards, goals, and procedural guidance to ensure consistent and effective customer service is provided by all Help Desks.
- Implement the necessary changes at each Help Desk to bring them into compliance with the established national standards and guidelines.
- Design and implement a control process to: (a) evaluate each Help Desk operation against the national standards, goals, and procedures and (b) implement corrective actions for unacceptable deviations from the standards, goals or procedures (see page 10).

#### Type of Outcome Measure:

Taxpayer Burden - Potential

#### Value of the Benefit:

When Authorized IRS E-file Providers do not receive timely help in resolving their processing problems, the IRS is at risk of damaging relations with both the Authorized IRS E-file Providers and the taxpayers they represent. In addition, there is the potential effect of increased burden on Authorized IRS E-file Providers and taxpayers if additional contacts with the IRS are necessary to resolve inquires. We determined that the Help Desk at the Andover processing site services over 37,000 Authorized IRS E-file Providers. As a result, ineffective Help Desk operations could negatively affect many of the taxpayers represented by the 37,000 Authorized IRS E-file Providers.

#### Methodology Used to Measure the Reported Benefit:

We determined the number of Authorized IRS E-file Providers serviced by each Help Desk at the five processing sites. In addition, we used call volume data provided by IRS personnel to identify customer service inquires received for the period January 14 through February 29, 2000.

Appendix V

### Overview of the Internal Revenue Service's Processing Subsystems

The Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) system for processing individual electronic returns includes the following four subsystems:

- Front-End Processing Subsystem
- State Retrieval Subsystem
- Returns Processing Subsystem
- Tax Return Data Base

#### Front-End Processing Subsystem (FEPS)

This subsystem accepts returns<sup>1</sup> from Authorized IRS E-file Providers, acknowledges the receipt of the information, prepares the information for mainframe computer processing, and returns acknowledgements to the Providers.

The IRS electronically acknowledges to the Providers the receipt of all transmissions. Individual returns in each transmission are either accepted or rejected for specific reasons. The IRS uses Error Reject Codes (ERC) to define the specific reasons why returns are rejected for processing and uses form field numbers (sequence numbers) to identify which fields of the electronic return data are involved. ERCs and sequence numbers are included in the acknowledgement files provided to Providers. Authorized IRS Electronic Filing (E-file) Providers use this information to correct the rejected data and retransmit the electronic returns. The Filing Season Supplement for Electronic Return Originators (Publication 1345A), which is issued each year, contains the ERC explanations and sequence numbers.

Authorized IRS E-file Providers who have transmission problems can call one of five IRS Help Desks for assistance. IRS employees at these Help Desks research electronic return transmission data between the FEPS and the processing sites to resolve questions.

#### State Retrieval Subsystem (SRS)

This subsystem transmits state tax return data to state revenue agencies participating in the Federal/State Electronic Filing Program. The FEPS receives the state return attached to a Federal return. Once the Federal return has been validated, checked, and accepted by the IRS, the state return is separated from the Federal return, copied to tape, and transferred to the SRS. The state returns are then available for retrieval, via

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The IRS' TeleFile system controls the acceptance of returns filed over the telephone. This system is separate from the FEPS. However, after the returns are accepted by the TeleFile system or the FEPS, the returns flow through the same IRS processes.

telecommunication, by the participating state revenue agencies. (We did not review this subsystem during the audit.)

## Returns Processing Subsystem (RPS)

This subsystem converts the electronic return data into a format for processing and generates the acknowledgements provided to Providers.

#### Tax Return Data Base (TRDB)

The law requires that tax returns be retained for seven years. This subsystem is the official repository of individual and business tax return data. It contains all of the tax return data for electronic returns and any corrections that are subsequently made to the returns during processing.

**Appendix VI** 

## **Processing Problems That Occurred Early in the 2000 Filing Season**

#### Child Care Credit

In late January 2000, approximately 40,000 individual returns were erroneously rejected because of programming errors in the Internal Revenue Service's (IRS) computer programs used to process the returns. The processing problems involved the Child and Dependent Care Expenses (Form 2441) and the dependent care benefits reported on the Wage and Tax Statement (Form W-2). Both the IRS and the filing community identified the problem with the Form 2441. A software developer initially identified the problem with the W-2 and notified the IRS. By February 10, 2000, IRS personnel had investigated the problem and corrected the programming errors that caused the rejects. Returns that had been previously rejected could be re-transmitted beginning February 10, 2000.

#### Debt Indicator

For the 2000 Filing Season, the IRS also implemented the Debt Indicator Pilot for individual electronic returns. Under this pilot, the IRS provided Authorized IRS Electronic Filing (E-file) Providers, who met certain mandatory requirements to participate in the pilot, a debt indicator for each taxpayer who entered into a Refund Anticipation Loan (RAL) agreement with a financial institution. The debt indicator shows whether the taxpayer owes prior year taxes (IRS debt), owes past-due child support or other Federal agency debt such as student loans (Financial Management Service (FMS) debt), or has no debt.

A RAL agreement is money borrowed by a taxpayer from a lender based on the taxpayer's anticipated refund amount. The IRS offsets taxpayers' refunds to pay off prior year taxes that are owed, and the FMS offsets taxpayers' refunds to pay off past-due child support or Federal agency debts that are owed. Offsets to non-tax debts occur after the IRS has certified the refunds to the FMS for payment but before the FMS issues the refund checks or makes the Direct Deposits. Refund offsets reduce the amount of the expected Direct Deposits or a paper check but do not delay the issuance of the remaining refund (if any).

In late January 2000, participants in the Debt Indicator Pilot initially identified and notified the IRS of three separate problems. The IRS responded immediately and initiated corrective actions that resolved the problems. First, financial institutions informed participating Authorized IRS E-file Providers that they had used the wrong RAL code in their transmissions of electronic returns to the IRS. Participants who expected the debt indicator code but did not receive it informed the IRS. Approximately 20,000 returns were affected. Second, the IRS received inquiries from taxpayers' lenders

who questioned why taxpayers' refunds had been offset when they had been informed the taxpayers had no debt. A joint investigation by the IRS and the FMS determined that the FMS had failed to report to the IRS approximately \$1.7 million in non-tax debt related to child support. Although the IRS did not cause either problem, both problems affected the accuracy of the debt indicators generated by the IRS. The third problem was caused by an IRS error. Participants notified the IRS that a debt indicator code did not appear in the appropriate field within the electronic acknowledgement file. The IRS sends an acknowledgement file to an Authorized IRS E-file Provider within 48 hours of receiving a transmission. It informs the Authorized IRS E-file Provider if the transmission was accepted or rejected for IRS processing.

## **Appendix VII**

### Management's Response to the Draft Report



DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224

October 12, 2000

RECEIVED
OCT 12 2000
PhA 3:458M

MEMORANDUM FOR DEPUTY INSPECTOR GENERAL FOR AUDIT

FROM:

Charles O. Rossotti

Commissioner of Internal Revenue

SUBJECT:

Draft Treasury Inspector General for Tax Administration (TIGTA) Audit Report – Electronic Returns Were Processed

Effectively

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to your draft report entitled "Electronic Returns Were Processed Effectively." We are pleased the report acknowledges the effectiveness of the Internal Revenue Service's system for accepting and processing individual electronic returns. It also recognizes that we quickly identified and corrected problems that occurred early in the filing season. The mission of the Electronic Tax Administration (ETA) is to revolutionize how taxpayers transact and communicate with the IRS.

For the 2000 filing season, a record setting 35.4 million individual taxpayers, 20 percent more than in 1999, filed using one of three convenient e-file options.

- Authorized Electronic Return Originator (ERO) -- 25.2 million taxpayers filed their tax returns electronically; a 18.8 percent increase over the same period last year.
- On-line Filing -- over 5 million taxpayers filed their tax returns on-line using their home computers through a third party transmitter; an increase of 104 percent over last year.
- TeleFile -- more than 5.2 million taxpayers filed their returns over the telephone.

Practitioner Personal Identification Number (PIN) and Customer Number pilots grew for the second year in a row with 6.8 million taxpayers filing paperless tax returns. These pilots involved the use of PINs as the taxpayer's signature, eliminating the need to file the paper signature document

The Electronic Federal Tax Payment System (EFTPS) increased as over 3 million businesses enrolled in the system and deposited 94 percent of employment tax dollars electronically. The EFTPS will pilot an Internet Web site that allows paperless enrollment, on-line payments, on-line research, and customer service.

2

We also realize we need to improve the customer service provided to electronic transmitters who called with questions about their transmissions. We have identified the actions we plan to take to improve our service.

#### **IDENTITY OF RECOMMENDATION/FINDING #1:**

Develop national standards, goals, and procedural guidance, for each of the proposed areas mentioned above, to ensure consistent and effective customer service is provided by all processing site Help Desks.

## ASSESSMENT OF CAUSE(S):

Without guidance from ETA, Help Desk personnel cannot provide a consistent service and advice to the Authorized E-File Providers who contact them.

#### **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:**

ETA and our IRS e-file Submission Processing Centers (SPCs) are addressing the recommendations for additional guidance.

1. Processing Year (PY) 2000 was the first year we had the Help Desk at the five e-file sites. To prepare for that year, IRS conducted a "train the trainer" session at the Austin Submission Processing Center (AUSPC) for two employees per site. Based on our experience during the year, we recognized we needed additional training to assure a more consistent level of service in the future. For PY 2001, we asked AUSPC trainers to conduct a training session at each of the five e-file sites so that we can be sure all employees will receive the same message across all sites. We selected AUSPC to work with Corporate Education to develop the training because of the excellent job aid they prepared for their Tax Examiners (TEs).

Training will begin in mid-October at the Ogden Submission Processing Center and end at the AUSC in early January. The numbers of employees trained will range from 13 to 45 per site with a total of 9 sessions.

Also, we added a training module at the five sites for our National Director, Individual Electronic Filing Division, to present an e-file overview, which will include new initiatives for 2001 so that employees can better understand e-filing from a broader perspective. In addition to the TEs, we have invited the current District Office ETA Coordinators and Regional analysts to attend this module and participate in a joint session so that coordinators, analysts, and TEs can compare the types of questions that each area has received. We also plan for the coordinators and analysts to spend time with the TEs at their workstations so they can become familiar with the TEs' Help Desk tool. On that same day, a representative from the Electronic Management System (EMS) Project Office will present an Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) overview to sites that receive returns in EDI format. ETA

3

National Office will fund travel for all participants at the training sessions. Training will be completed by February 1, 2001.

2. ETA National Office is updating Internal Revenue Manual (IRM) 12.2.1, Individual Electronic Filing, to provide guidance to the SPCs. For example, we updated the IRM to say an assistor should answer calls and that recording devices should be used only when all lines are busy. We also noted the hours of service provided by each site and stated they may have to be extended to manage the call volume during peak times. We will follow up the IRM with a program letter from the National Director to the SPC Directors emphasizing our expectations. Additionally, Andover has already advised us they will: use their TEs to work the Help Desk calls in 2001, increase the number of employees who will work calls to 25 full-time TEs, and make the largest number of employees available for training. The IRM and program letter will be completed by December 1, 2000.

We also plan to work with Information Systems (IS) to define expectations of the technical staff. IS will prepare Service Level Agreements to include 24 hours a day, 7 days a week coverage for our customers with transmission problems during peak periods. Our plan is to work with the sites to prepare a draft agreement that can be executed at our filing season start up meeting in December. The IS and ETA executives plan to visit the Tennessee Computing Center and AUSPC in January to emphasize the importance of a consistent level of service. The Service Level Agreement will be completed by February 1, 2001.

3. We are establishing a team with members from the five e-file sites, ETA, NTEU, and contractor support, if possible, to analyze and recommend solutions to long term aspects of Help Desk service (for example, recommended telephone system, percentage of calls answered on-line). We expect this team to also address the number of e-file sites needed for the future and to look at the role of ETA in the new IRS organization. The team's longer term work will be completed by December 1, 2001.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE: December 1, 2001

#### RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL(S):

Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division Director, Individual Electronic Filing Division

#### **IDENTITY OF RECOMMENDATION/FINDING #2:**

Implement the necessary changes at each Help Desk to bring them into compliance with the established national standards and guidelines.

4

#### ASSESSMENT OF CAUSE(S):

Filing Season 2000 was the first year we had the Help Desk at the five e-file sites. The results of that experience led ETA to recognize that we needed a more consistent level of service.

#### **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:**

Each Help Desk will be instructed to adhere to the guidelines in IRM 12.2.1, Individual Electronic Filing, and the program letter for the 2001 filing season. In addition, ETA will monitor the delivery of training and evaluates its results. Representatives from ETA and the SPCs will continue to work together on longer-term issues.

IMPLEMENTATION DATE: December 1, 2001

#### RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL(S):

Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division Director, Individual Electronic Filing Division

#### **IDENTITY OF RECOMMENDATION/FINDING #3:**

Design and implement a control process to:

- a. Evaluate each Help Desk operation against the national standards, goals, and procedures, and
- b. Implement corrective actions for unacceptable deviations from the standards, goals, or procedures.

#### ASSESSMENT OF CAUSE(S):

Lack of consistency in service highlighted the need for more controls.

#### **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:**

We will evaluate the Help Desk operations against the national standards and guidelines during our periodic on-site review at the Submission Processing Centers (for example, during start up and peak). The format for filing season conference calls will be revised to give SPC managers more opportunity to raise questions and concerns. These calls are conducted daily during start up and weekly throughout the filing season. We will examine lessons learned at the end-of-filing-season meeting and develop action items to improve the 2002 filing season.

In addition, we will require the centers to develop quality review procedures to evaluate the effectiveness of telephone assistance. These procedures will be based on the currently installed telephone system while the team works toward recommendations for a future system. If the standards are not met, the Help Desk will be required to implement corrective actions immediately.

5

IMPLEMENTATION DATE: November 1, 2001

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL(S):
Commissioner, Wage and Investment Division Director, Individual Electronic Filing Division

If you have any questions, members of your staff may contact JoAnn N. Blank, Director, Individual Electronic Filing Division, at (202) 283-4790.